IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

CARON NAZARIO, Plaintiff,)	
riamini,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 2:21CV169 (RCY)
JOE GUTIERREZ,)	
in his personal capacity,)	
and)	
DANIEL CROCKER,)	
in his personal capacity)	
Defendants.)	

VERDICT FORM

MEMBERS OF THE JURY:

Please answer the questions on this form in the order listed – that is, please start with Question 1, and answer each question in order before moving on to the next question. Please follow the instructions after each question to determine which question to answer next.

VERDICT:

We the jury, having been duly sworn, and having conscientiously considered the evidence presented, state our unanimous verdict as follows:

ILLEGAL SEARCH (FOURTH AMENDMENT) CLAIM

Question No. 1

Did Plaintiff Caron Nazario prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defenda
Joseph Gutierrez violated Plaintiff's right under the Fourth Amendment to the United State
Constitution to be free of an illegal search?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1, then please proceed to Question Nos. 2 and 3.
If you answered "No" to Question No. 1, then please skip to Question No. 5.
Question No. 2
What amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Gutierrez's violation
of Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment rights?
\$
Question No. 3
Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Gutierrez acted with
actual malice or under circumstances amounting to a willful and wanton disregard for Plaintiff
Fourth Amendment rights?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 3, then please proceed to Question No. 4. If you

answered "No" to Question No. 3, then please skip to Question No. 5.

Question No. 4

What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Gutierrez for violating Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment rights, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a similar way?

\$			

Question No. 5

In light of the Court's prior determination that Defendant Daniel Crocker violated Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment right to be free of an illegal search, what amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Crocker's violation of Plaintiff's rights?



Question No. 6

Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Crocker acted with actual malice or under circumstances amounting to a willful and wanton disregard for Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment rights?

Yes ______ No _____

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 6, then please proceed to Question No. 7. If you answered "No" to Question No. 6, then please skip to Question No. 8.

Question No. 7

What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Crocker for violating Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment rights, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a similar way?

\$

ILLEGAL SEARCH (VIRGINIA LAW) CLAIM

\sim	. •	3. T	_
fhi	estior	า Na	X
Vu	COLLOI	1 1 1 0	. 0

Did Plaintiff Caron Nazario prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant
Joseph Gutierrez violated Plaintiff's right under Virginia law to be free of an illegal search?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 8, then please proceed to Question Nos. 9 and 10. If you
answered "No" to Question No. 8, then please skip to Question No. 12.
Question No. 9
What amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Gutierrez's alleged
violation of Plaintiff's rights under Virginia law?
\$
Question No. 10
What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Gutierrez for
violating Plaintiff's rights under Virginia law, and to serve as an example to prevent others from
acting in a similar way?
\$
Ψ

Question No. 11

In light of the Court's prior determination that Defendant Daniel Crocker violated Plaintiff's right under Virginia law to be free of an illegal search, what amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Crocker's violation of Plaintiff's right?



Question No. 12

What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Crocker for violating Plaintiff's rights under Virginia law, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a similar way?

FALSE IMPRISONMENT CLAIM

\sim	. •	- 1	N T	10
()) i	estic	າn ∣	NΛ	14
Vu	-	J11 1	. 10	

Did Plaintiff Caron Nazario prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant
Joseph Gutierrez falsely imprisoned Plaintiff?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 13, then please proceed to Question Nos. 14 and 15. If
you answered "No" to Question No. 13, then please skip to Question No. 17.
Question No. 14 What amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Gutierrez's false
imprisonment of Plaintiff?
\$
Question No. 15
Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, in connection with the
alleged false imprisonment, Defendant Gutierrez acted with actual malice, or under
circumstances amounting to a willful and wanton disregard for Plaintiff's rights?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 15, then please proceed to Question No. 16. If you

answered "No" to Question No. 15, then please skip to Question No. 17.

Questic	on No. 16
	What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Gutierrez for
falsely	imprisoning Plaintiff, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a

similar way?

Question No. 17

Did Plaintiff Caron Nazario prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant

Daniel Crocker falsely imprisoned	Plaintiff	?
Yes	No	

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 17, then please proceed to Question Nos. 18 and 19. If you answered "No" to Question No. 17, then please skip to Question No. 21.

Question No. 18

What amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Crocker's false imprisonment of Plaintiff?

\$		

\sim		. •	3 T	4 ^
1	1100	tian	No.	
.,	1162		1413.	17

Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, in connection with the
alleged false imprisonment, Defendant Crocker acted with actual malice, or under circumstances
amounting to a willful and wanton disregard for Plaintiff's rights?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 19, then please proceed to Question No. 20. If you
answered "No" to Question No. 19, then please skip to Question No. 21.
Question No. 20
What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Crocker for falsely
imprisoning Plaintiff, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a similar way?
\$

ASSAULT CLAIM

Question No. 21

Did Plaintiff Caron Nazario prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant
Joseph Gutierrez assaulted Plaintiff?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 21, then please proceed to Question Nos. 22 and 23. If you answered "No" to Question No. 21, then please skip to Question No. 25.
Question No. 22
What amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Gutierrez's assault?
s_2,685
Question No. 23
Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, in connection with the
alleged assault, Defendant Gutierrez acted with actual malice or under circumstances amounting
to a willful and wanton disregard for Plaintiff's rights?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 23, then please proceed to Question No. 24. If you

answered "No" to Question No. 23, then please skip to Question No. 25.

Question No. 24
What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Gutierrez for
assaulting Plaintiff, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a similar way?
c
\$
Question No. 25
Did Plaintiff Caron Nazario prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant
Daniel Crocker assaulted Plaintiff?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 25, then please proceed to Question Nos. 26 and 27. If
you answered "No" to Question No. 25, then please skip to Question No. 29.
Question No. 26
What amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Crocker's assault?
dr.
\$
Overtion No. 27
Question No. 27
Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, in connection with the
alleged assault, Defendant Crocker acted with actual malice or under circumstances amounting
to a willful and wanton disregard for Plaintiff's rights?

No _____

Yes _____

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 27, then please proceed to Question No. 28. If you answered "No" to Question No. 27, then please skip to Question No. 29.

Question No. 28

What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Crocker for assaulting Plaintiff, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a similar way?

\$	

BATTERY CLAIM

Question No. 29

Did Plaintiff Caron Nazario prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant
Joseph Gutierrez committed battery against Plaintiff?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 29, then please proceed to Question Nos. 30 and 31. If
you answered "No" to Question No. 29, then please skip to Question No. 33.
Question No. 30
What amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Gutierrez's battery?
\$
Question No. 31
Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, in connection with the
alleged battery, Defendant Gutierrez acted with actual malice or under circumstances amounting
to a willful and wanton disregard for Plaintiff's rights?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 31, then please proceed to Question No. 32. If you
answered "No" to Question No. 31, then please skip to Question No. 33.

Question No. 32
What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Gutierrez for his
battery of Plaintiff, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a similar way?
\$
Question No. 33
Did Plaintiff Caron Nazario prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant
Daniel Crocker committed battery against Plaintiff?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 33, then please proceed to Question Nos. 34 and 35. It
you answered "No" to Question No. 33, your deliberations are complete; please proceed to the
Foreperson Certification at the end of this form.
Question No. 34
What amount of damages would compensate Plaintiff for Defendant Crocker's battery?
What amount of damages would componsate I familif for Detendant Crocker's battery.
\$
Question No. 35
Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, in connection with the
alleged battery, Defendant Crocker acted with actual malice or under circumstances amounting
to a willful and wanton disregard for Plaintiff's rights?
Yes No

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 35, then please proceed to Question No. 36. If you answered "No" to Question No. 35, your deliberations are complete; please proceed to the Foreperson Certification at the end of this form.

Question No. 36

What amount of punitive damages is appropriate to punish Defendant Crocker for assaulting Plaintiff, and to serve as an example to prevent others from acting in a similar way?

\$

Your deliberations are complete. The Foreperson should sign and date the certification at the end of this verdict form and return your verdict to the Court.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing responses represent the unanimous verdict of the jury empaneled to consider this matter.

SANUARY 17, 2023 DATE Pursuant to the E-Government Act, the original of this page has been filed under seal in the Clerk's Office

SIGNATURÉ OF FOREPERSON

as been wed in Colors